REMARKS

Claims 1-2, 13, and 20 stand rejected under 35 USC §102(b) as being anticipated by Cohen et al., U.S. patent 5,946,380. Claims 3-4, 7-12, 14 and 16-19 stand rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen et al., U.S. patent 5,946,380 in view of Jankowitz et al., U.S. patent 5,875,236. Claims 5-6 and 15 stand rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen et al., in view of Sawyer et al., U.S. patent 6,324,271.

Reconsideration and allowance of each of the claims 1-20 is respectfully requested.

that includes a network switch coupled through a telephone line uniquely associated with each customer for budgeted telephone calling time and amount, either pre-paid or post-paid, the budgeted amount being recorded in the system for calling purposes. A server is coupled to the switch for automated control of the budgeted telephone calls and costs. The server includes a control processor having access to databases for recorded budgeted amounts and call routing. A voice response unit is coupled to the processor and sends messages to the calling customer at the beginning of each budget telephone call indicating remaining budgeted telephone calling time and amount available to the calling purposes. The processor debits the customer account by an amount reflecting the call costs as the call proceeds. A voice message advises the calling customer when the available time and costs for the budgeted telephone call will

terminate. Depending upon customer preference, the call may (i) terminate when the budget amount is exceeded or (ii) continue subject to a warning that the call budget has been exceeded with a prompt to obtain additional prepaid budgeted calling time and cost or (iii) continue the call and subsequent calls subject to later payment by the calling customer. Calls are placed directly to the calling party without accessing a special toll number or providing a credit card number. FIG. 1 discloses a communications network 100 which in one form may be a Common Channel Signal Network (CCSN) coupled to a plurality of network switches 80 and 90, such as Electronic Switching System No. 4 (4ESS) for completing calls between local telephones 50, 54. Local Exchange Carriers (LEC) 52 and 56, respectively, are connected to the phones 50 and 54 through links 50' and 54', respectively. The local exchange carriers are also connected to the network switches 80 and 90, through links 52' and 56', respectively. The network 100 is also connected to a Call Expenditure Control Server 125 including a network switch 106 coupled to a control processor 102 through link 105 and to a Voice Response Unit 104 through a link 107. The voice response unit and control processor 102 are connected together through a link 103. The server 125 provides budgeted calling service, either pre-paid or post paid, to customers using the phones 50, 54. The customer obtains the prepaid, budgeted calling service by calling a Customer Service Representative and ordering the service for his unique telephone line. The service enables the caller to place a call directly to a called party without accessing a special toll number or using a credit card.

Jankowitz et al., U.S. patent 5,875,236 discloses an automated system for

detecting and preventing fraudulent telephone calls in a telecommunications network. Prior to completing a telephone call, a database is accessed within a telecommunications network to determine whether the call should be completed. The billing number to which the call is to be charged is compared to a customer record assigned to the billing number and stored in the database. The customer record is checked against a treatment category code which combines geographic call restrictions and thresholding. A call may be identified as potentially fraudulent and blocked if the customer record associated with the billing number indicates that the account is in arrears. In addition, at predetermined intervals during the progress of the call and at the end of each allowed call to be charged to that billing number, the time and/or cost of each call is estimated and added to the total stored in a user-defined threshold counter in the database. When the total stored in the counter exceeds a predetermined threshold limit, a potentially fraudulent call is identified. In this manner, call authorization is performed on a per call basis to prevent fraudulent telephone calls.

Sawyer et al., U.S. patent 6,324,271 discloses a system and method for caller identification, named certified caller ID (CCID) provides an enhancement to existing calling line identification services by providing the terminating end of a telephone call with a cryptographically-certified identity of the caller, rather than the identity associated with the calling telephone line. A less secure variation of CCID could, at the option of the service provider, indicate that the call has been certified if the call were placed using a telephone calling card with a standard PIN. Alternatively, a more secure variation could be implemented in which the authentication took place in

conjunction with a known biometric confirmation mechanism such as a fingerprint scanning, voice recognition, iris scanning of the eye, or hand characterization. Since different authentication mechanisms may be used for CCID, it is envisaged that a certification level would be associated with each call and delivered to the terminating end together with the reserved symbol that denotes that the identity of the caller has been certified. The individual or equipment accepting the call could then act on the certification level as appropriate.

Independent claims 1, 13 and 20 respectively recite a method, computer program product and system for implementing calling card security of the present invention. Each of the independent claims 1, 13 and 20 recite sequentially checking a plurality of predefined options to identify user selected options for the calling card using a stored calling card record, said calling card record storing a calling card number and a time remaining for the calling card; said calling card record including said plurality of predefined options and each said user selected options for the calling card. This step is not disclosed in the Cohen et al. reference and a combination of all the teachings of the references of record would not achieve the claimed invention as recited by claims 1, 13, and 20.

For a claim of a patent to be "anticipated" each and every element of that claim must be disclosed in a single prior art reference. Lack of novelty can be established only where a prior invention is identical to (or "anticipates") the invention sought to be patented. "In addition, the prior art reference must be enabling, thus placing the allegedly disclosed matter in the possession of the public." Akzo N.V. v.

U.S. Intern. Trade Com'n, 808 F.2d 1471, 1479 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Contrary to the Examiner's statement that calling card is being interpreted as calling account, Applicants teach and claim a method, computer program product and system for implementing calling card security. Applicants respectfully submit that the rejection of claims 1, 2, 13, and 20 as being anticipated by Cohen et al. is incorrect and not supported by the disclosure of the Cohen et al. patent. The Cohen et al. patent teaches a communications system including a call expenditure control server for a telephone line uniquely associated with a caller without using a special toll number or credit card. The Cohen et al. patent provides no teaching or suggestion of a method, computer program product and system for implementing calling card security. The Cohen et al. patent neither anticipates, nor renders obvious the claimed invention.

In deciding the issue of anticipation, the focus must always be on the entirety of the claimed invention. Structural Rubber Products v. Park Rubber Co., 749 F.2d 707, 716 (Fed. Cir. 1984). In deciding the issue of anticipation, it is error to treat the claims as a mere catalog of separate parts, in disregard of the part-to-part relationships set forth in the claims that give those claims their meaning. Lindemann Maschinefabrik v. AM. Hoist and Derrick, 730 F.2d 1452, 1459 (Fed. Cir. 1984). The focus must always be on the entirety of the claimed invention. Structural Rubber Products v. Park Rubber Co., 749 F.2d 707, 716 (Fed. Cir. 1984).

The Cohen et al. reference teaches a communication system where a telephone line is uniquely associated with each customer for budgeted telephone calling time and amount, either pre-paid or post-paid. In Cohen et al., the budgeted amount is

recorded in the system for calling purposes. Cohen et al. teaches the use of a user selected preference for terminating a call from the uniquely associated customer telephone line when the budgeted telephone amount is exceeded.

Cohen et al. provide no suggestion of any use of a calling card; and Cohen et al. provide no suggestion of any method for implementing calling card security.

Cohen et al. provide no suggestion of sequentially checking a plurality of predefined options to identify user selected options for the calling card using a stored calling card record, said calling card record storing a calling card number and a time remaining for the calling card; said calling card record including said plurality of predefined options and each said user selected options for the calling card as recited in independent claims 1, 13, and 20.

Applicants respectfully submit that the subject matter of the invention as recited in independent claims 1, 13, and 20 is not anticipated by Cohen et al., nor rendered obvious when further combined Jankowitz et al. and Sawyer et al. The method for identifying whether a telephone call be to billed to a billing number in a telecommunications network is potentially fraudulent taught by Jankowitz et al. adds nothing to suggest the subject matter of the invention as recited in independent claims 1, 13, and 20. The system and method to provide the terminating end of a telephone call with a cryptographically-certified identity of the caller of Sawyer et al. adds nothing to suggest the subject matter of the invention as recited in independent claims 1, 13, and 20.

Only Applicants teach a method for implementing calling card security.

Only Applicants teach the use of the stored calling card record including said plurality of predefined options and each said user selected options for the calling card.

Cohen et al. provide no suggestion of any method for implementing calling card security, as taught and claimed by Applicants. Cohen et al. provide no suggestion of using a stored calling card record as taught and claimed by Applicants.

An anticipation rejection requires a showing that each limitation of a claim must be found in a single reference, practice, or device. Thus, it is submitted that each of the independent claims 1, 13 and 20 is patentable.

Dependent claim 3 further defines the computer implemented method for implementing calling card security of claim 1 wherein the step of checking said plurality of predefined options to identify user selected options for the calling card includes the step of checking for use from a specified telephone number being enabled. This feature of implementing calling card security is not suggested by the references of record. The Jankowitz et al. method for identifying a potentially fraudulent telephone call be to billed to a billing number in a telecommunications network adds nothing to suggest the subject matter of the invention as recited in independent claim 1, nor the subject matter of dependent claim 3. Thus, claim 3 is further patentable over the references of record.

Dependent claim 5 further defines the computer implemented method for implementing calling card security of claim 1 wherein the step of checking said plurality of predefined options to identify user selected options for the calling card includes the

step of checking for voice recognition being enabled. This feature of implementing calling card security is not suggested by the references of record. The Sawyer et al. method for providing a terminating end of a telephone call with a cryptographically-certified identity of the caller adds nothing to suggest the subject matter of the invention as recited in independent claim 1, nor the subject matter of dependent claim 5. Thus, claim 5 is further patentable over the references of record.

Dependent claim 7 further defines the computer implemented method for implementing calling card security of claim 1 wherein the step of checking said plurality of predefined options to identify user selected options for the calling card includes the step of checking for a limited number of calls from a specified telephone number being enabled. This feature of implementing calling card security is not suggested by the references of record. The references of record do not suggest the step of checking for a limited number of calls from a specified telephone number being enabled or the subject matter of dependent claim 7. Thus, claim 7 is further patentable over the references of record.

Dependent claim 11 further defines the computer implemented method for implementing calling card security of claim 1 wherein the step of checking said plurality of predefined options to identify user selected options for the calling card includes the step of checking for a limited time for calls being enabled. This feature of implementing calling card security is not suggested by the references of record. The Jankowitz et al. method for identifying a potentially fraudulent telephone call be to billed to a billing number in a telecommunications network adds nothing to suggest the subject matter of

the invention as recited in independent claim 1, nor the subject matter of dependent claim 11. Thus, claim 11 is further patentable over the references of record.

Dependent claims 2-12 and 14-19 further define the invention of patentable claims 1 and 13, and are likewise patentable.

Applicants have reviewed all the art of record, and respectfully submit that the claimed invention is patentable over all the art of record, including the references not relied upon by the Examiner for the rejection of the pending claims.

It is believed that the present application is now in condition for allowance and allowance of each of the pending claims 1-20 is respectfully requested. Prompt and favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner upon considering this amendment should find that a telephone interview would be helpful in expediting allowance of the present application, the Examiner is respectfully urged to call the applicants' attorney at the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Joan Pennington

Reg. No. 30,885

Telephone: (312) 670-0736